

**Third-party Interventions before the European Court of Human
Rights**

Amicus Curiae, Member-state and Third-party Interventions

Dissertation
der Rechtswissenschaftlichen Fakultät
der Universität Zürich

zur Erlangung der Würde einer Doktorin der Rechtswissenschaft

vorgelegt von

Nicole Bürli

von Klingnau AG

genehmigt auf Antrag von

Prof. Dr. Helen Keller

und

Prof. Dr. Regina Kiener

Table of Content

Acknowledgements	ix
Abbreviations	xi
Table of Cases.....	xvii
1. Introduction	1
I. Context and Scope of the Study	1
II. Method.....	3
III. Basic Legal Framework	5
A. Historical Background	5
B. <i>Ratione Personae</i>	6
a. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Intervention	7
b. Member-state Intervention	12
c. Third-party Intervention	12
C. <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	13
D. <i>Ratione Temporis</i>	13
E. Form	14
a. Written Comments.....	14
b. Oral Comments.....	15
F. The Court's Engagement with Interventions	15
a. Summary in Judgments	15
b. Reference in Reasoning	17
c. Reference in Separate Opinions	19
2. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Intervention.....	23
I. Introduction	23
II. Historical Origin	25
III. Rationale of <i>Amicus Curiae</i>.....	27
IV. The Court's Legitimacy	30
A. Tension Between Democracy and Judicial Review	30
a. Counter-majoritarian Difficulty.....	32
b. <i>Quasi</i> -constitutional Function	34
B. Constitutional Features.....	38
V. Argumentative Representation	40
A. Ideological Submissions.....	42
a. Restraint <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Interventions.....	44
i. Faith-based NGOs	45
ii. Churches	46
b. Activist <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Interventions.....	47

c.	Beginning and Ending of Life	50
d.	Rights of LGBT's	53
e.	Relation Between Religion and the State	55
f.	Influence on Ideological Directions of Cases.....	58
B.	Knowledge Production.....	60
a.	Information on International Law.....	60
i.	International Human Rights Law	64
ii.	International Health Law	65
iii.	European Union Law.....	66
iv.	International Humanitarian Law.....	68
v.	Preliminary Conclusion	70
b.	Contextualisation.....	71
i.	Factual Information	71
ii.	Legal Information	72
iii.	Situation in Northern Ireland.....	73
iv.	Regime Changes in Central and Eastern Europe.....	76
v.	Preliminary Conclusion	78
C.	Lend Credibility to Arguments	79
a.	Membership-based Organisations	80
b.	Authority.....	82
D.	Preliminary Conclusion.....	84
VI.	Principled Decision-Making	85
A.	Proportionality.....	87
a.	Proportionality in the European Court's Judgments.....	87
b.	Proportionality in the Narrow Sense	88
i.	Intensity	91
ii.	Importance	93
iii.	Justification.....	95
c.	Preliminary Conclusion	97
B.	Consensus and Comparative Reference	97
a.	Absence of Established Case Law.....	99
b.	Social or Political Development.....	103
c.	Preliminary Conclusion	105
C.	Margin of Appreciation.....	107
D.	Precedent.....	111
a.	Endorsement	113
b.	Rejection.....	115
c.	Preliminary Conclusion	119
E.	Development of the Convention	119
a.	Rights of Detainees.....	121

i.	Extradition and Expulsion	122
ii.	Enforced Disappearance	126
iii.	Preliminary Conclusion	128
b.	Rights of LGBT's	130
i.	Rights of Transsexuals.....	131
ii.	Family Life and Marriage.....	131
iii.	Adoption	133
iv.	Preliminary Conclusion	134
c.	Rights of Roma and Travellers.....	135
d.	Rights of Trafficked and Enslaved Persons.....	140
e.	Preliminary Conclusion	144
VII.	Acceptance of Court's Authority	145
A.	Specific Support	147
B.	Diffuse Support	152
a.	Use of <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	153
b.	Transparent Procedure.....	155
c.	Arbitrary Refusals.....	156
C.	Preliminary Conclusion.....	158
VIII.	Admissibility of <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	159
A.	Admissibility <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	160
a.	The Interest of Proper Administration of Justice.....	160
b.	General Principles.....	160
c.	Practical Implications	164
B.	Admissibility <i>Ratione Personae</i>	165
a.	Non-governmental Organisations.....	166
i.	European Organisations.....	166
ii.	Non-European Organisations	166
b.	National Human Rights Institutions and Ombudspersons ...	168
c.	Individuals	169
d.	Members of Parliamentary Assemblies	169
e.	International Organisations.....	170
f.	Non-member States	171
C.	Preliminary Conclusion.....	171
IX.	Conclusion	172
3.	Member-State Intervention	173
I.	Preliminary Observation	173
II.	Notion and Origin	174
III.	Reinforcement of State Sovereignty.....	177
IV.	Judicial Restraint.....	180

A.	Margin or Appreciation.....	182
B.	Subsidiarity	185
C.	Historical Interpretation	186
D.	Reserved Domain	187
a.	Immigration and National Security	189
b.	Governmental Structure.....	191
E.	State Responsibility in Relation to Inter-governmental Organisations.....	194
F.	Restraint Ideological Submissions	197
V.	Court's Engagement with Member-State Intervention	200
A.	Reflection in Judgments	200
B.	Weakening Established Case Law	201
VI.	Conclusion	203
4.	Third-Party Intervention.....	205
I.	Preliminary Observation	205
II.	Origin and Notion.....	206
III.	Legal Interest	210
A.	<i>Ratione Materiae</i>	210
a.	Execution of Judgments	210
i.	Reopening of Domestic Proceedings.....	213
ii.	Other Individual Measures	215
b.	Conflict of Rights	216
i.	Property	217
ii.	Ancestry.....	220
iii.	Abduction of Children	220
iv.	Child Custody and Visiting Rights	223
v.	Employment.....	224
vi.	Defamation	225
c.	Preliminary Conclusion	226
B.	<i>Ratione Personae</i>	226
a.	Natural and Legal Persons.....	227
b.	State Entities and Intergovernmental Bodies.....	228
C.	Preliminary Conclusion.....	230
IV.	The Court's Practice	231
V.	Scope of Participation	233
A.	Right to Intervene.....	233
B.	Litigation Rights.....	236
VI.	Conclusion	237
5.	Conclusion.....	239

I. Three Types of Intervention.....	239
A. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> : Strengthen the Court’s Legitimacy	239
B. Member States: Reinforce State Sovereignty	240
C. Third Party: Protect Own Legal Interests.....	241
II. Non-transparent Procedure and Admissibility Criteria.....	241
A. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Intervention	242
B. Third-party Intervention.....	243
III. Impact of Intervention	244
A. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Intervention	246
B. Member-State Intervention	249
C. Third-party Intervention.....	250
Bibliography	253
Annex	277